## **JPDS Editor's Pick**

- 1. Article published in the last year (November issue of the preceding year up to the May issue of the current year).
- 2. Article is ranked by up to 5 JPDS editors according to the scoring system below.
- 3. Top 3 scoring articles will be invited to present.
- 4. Top 3 scoring articles will comprise of 2 original articles and 1 case report, however this is subject to change upon the discretion of the editorial team.
- 5. The presenter must be the primary author or co-author of the paper. In the event no authors are available to present, the Editor's Pick award will be given to the next ranked article.
- 6. Presenters will be provided a certificate of presentation and a cash prize.

## **Original Article**

CRITERIA	SCORE
Relevance/significance	20
Potential impact of the study to clinical practice/scientific knowledge	
Methodology Study design and setting, eligibility criteria, outcome measurements and data analysis are scientifically valid Efforts to address potential sources of bias are described	30
Results and discussion  All relevant data are clearly presented and discussed in the context of existing literature/evidence	30
Writing style Clear, concise, and cohesive manuscript	20
	100

## **Case Report**

Criteria	Score
Relevance/significance Potential impact of the report to clinical practice/scientific knowledge	20
Complete and concise documentation All pertinent information are included	30
<b>Discussion</b> The case is discussed in the context of existing literature/evidence	30
Vriting style lear, concise, and cohesive manuscript	20
	100